



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

## हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

बुधवार, 04 अगस्त, 2021 / 13 श्रावण, 1943

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

राजस्व विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-02, 31 जुलाई, 2021

संख्या:राजस्व-घ/(ए) 1-7/2021-(शिमला).—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल की यह राय है कि लोक हित में ऐसा करना आवश्यक और समीचीन है कि जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश में एक नई उप-तहसील कलबोग सृजित की जाए, ताकि नजदीक के गांवों के लोगों को बेहतर सेवाएं उपलब्ध करवाई जा सकें और

जिससे उनको होने वाली किसी असुविधा से निवारित किया जा सके तथा बेहतर प्रशासनिक नियन्त्रण हो सके;

अतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 6) की धारा 6 और रजिस्ट्रीकरण अधिनियम, 1908 (1908 का अधिनियम संख्यांक 16) की धारा 5 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश में तहसील कोटखाई के प्रशासनिक नियंत्रण के अधीन निम्न स्तम्भ संख्या 6 में दर्शाए गए पांच पटवार वृत्तों से गठित एक नई उप-तहसील कलबोग, जिसका मुख्यालय कलबोग में होगा, का तुरन्त प्रभाव से सृजन करते हैं :-

उप-तहसील का नाम	मुख्यालय	वर्तमानतः तहसील कोटखाई में सम्मिलित पटवार वृत्तों के नाम	उप-मण्डल का नाम	जिला	नई उप-तहसील में सम्मिलित किए जाने वाले पटवार वृत्त
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
कलबोग	कलबोग	1. बाग-डोमेहर 2. खनेटी 3. क्यारी 4. बगाहर 5. पनोग 6. थरोला 7. गराबग 8. पड़शाल 9. प्रेमनगर 10. महासू 11. पुड़ग 12. बखोल 13. हिमरी 14. गुम्मा 15. कलबोग 16. रतनाड़ी 17. क्यारवी 18. रामनगर 19. रावलाक्यार	ठियोग	शिमला	1. कलबोग 2. रतनाड़ी 3. क्यारवी 4. रामनगर 5. रावलाक्यार

आदेश द्वारा,  
कमलेश कुमार पंत,  
प्रधान सचिव एवं वित्तायुक्त (राजस्व)।

[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. Rev-D(A)1-7/2021-SML dated 31-07-2021 as required under clause (3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India]

## REVENUE DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-02, the 31st July, 2021

**No. Rev-D(A)1-7/2021-SML.**—WHEREAS, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is of the opinion that it is necessary and expedient in the public interest to create a new **Sub-Tehsil Kalbog** in District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, so as to provide better services to the people of nearby

villages and also to avoid any inconvenience being faced by them and to have a better administrative control;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Himachal Pradesh Land Revenue Act, 1954 (Act No. 6 of 1954) and Section 5 of the Registration Act, 1908 (Act No. 16 of 1908), the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to create a new Sub-Tehsil Kalbog, with its headquarter at Kalbog, under administrative control of Tehsil Kotkhai in District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, consisting of 5 Patwar Circles shown in column No. 6 below, with immediate effect:—

Name of the Sub- Tehsil	Head Quarter	Name of Patwar Circles Presently included in Kotkhai Tehsil	Name of Sub-Division	District	Patwar Circle to be included in new Sub-Tehsil
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
Kalbog	Kalbog	1. Bag-Domehar 2. Khaneti 3. Kiari 4. Bagahar 5. Panog 6. Tharola 7. Garawag 8. Padshal 9. Premnagar 10. Mahasu 11. Purag 12. Bakhhol 13. Himri 14. Gumma 15. Kalbog 16. Ratnari 17. Kiarvi 18. Ramnagar 19. Rawla Kiar	Theog	Shimla	1. Kalbog 2. Ratnari 3. Kiarvi 4. Ramnagar 5. Rawla Kiar

By order,

KAMLESH KUMAR PANT,  
Principal Secretary-cum-FC (Revenue).

## URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-2, the 31st July, 2021*

**No. UD-A(1)-3/2021.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(4) of Section 10 of the Himachal Pradesh Municipal Act, 1994, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to nominate the following persons as members (Government nominee) in following Municipal Councils/ Nagar Panchayats in Himachal Pradesh:—

**Municipal Council Sujanpur, Distt. Hamirpur :**

1. Sh. Ankush Gupta s/o Late Sh. Bhimsen Gupta, Chobbatta Mohalla, Ward No. 9, Sujanpur Tihra.
2. Sh. Tilak Raj Kashyap s/o Late Sh. Gian Chand, Dalli Mohalla, Ward No. 3, Sujanpur Tihra.
3. Smt. Anita Mehra w/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Mehra, Radha Swami Mohalla, Ward No. 6, Sujanpur, Tihra.
4. Smt. Lata Rana w/o Sh. Partap Rana, Kapoor Road, Ward No. 7, Sujanpur Tihra

**Nagar Panchayat Shahpur, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Birbal s/o Sh. Raju Ram, r/o Ward No. 2, village Hara, P.O. & Tehsil Shahpur, Kangra.
2. Sh. Pankaj Mahajan s/o Sh. Hansraj Mahajan, r/o Ward No. 6, VPO and Tehsil Shahpur, Kangra.
3. Sh. Satish Kumar s/o Sh. Sain Dass, Ward No. 5, VPO and Tehsil Shahpur

**Municipal Council Jawalamukhi, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Jyoti Shankar Sharma s/o Late Sh. Dev Raj , r/o Ward No. 2, VPO Jawalamukhi, Distt. Kangra.
2. Sh. Virender Kumar s/o Sh. Pratap Chand, r/o Ward No. 6, VPO Jawalamukhi , Distt. Kangra.
3. Sh. Rakesh Kumar s/o Sh. Durga Dass, r/o Ward No. 3, VPO Jawalamukhi, Distt. Kangra.
4. Sh. Sudarshan Kumar s/o Sh. Chuhru Ram, r/o Ward No. 1, VPO Jawalamukhi, Distt. Kangra

**Municipal Council Kangra, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Suresh Kumar Sharma s/o late Sh. Gian Chand, r/o Ward No. 9, Near Post Office Kangra, Tehsil & Distt. Kangra
2. Sh. Vidhyasagar s/o Sh. Gauri Lal, r/o Hosiyarpur Road, Kangra (Tehsil Chowk), Distt. Kangra
3. Sh. Vinod Kumar s/o Sh. Somnath, r/o Main Bazar Kangra, Distt. Kangra
4. Sh. Ritesh Soni s/o Sh. Sat Prakash Soni, R/o ward no. 4, Surajkund Road Kangra, Distt. Kangra.

**Municipal Council Dehra, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Surender Thakur s/o Sh. Hari Chand, r/o Ward No. 7, Nagar Parishad Dehra, Tehsil Dehra, Kangra.

2. Sh. Ravinder Kumar s/o late Sh. Chuni Lal, r/o Ward No. 5, Nagar Parishad Dehra, Tehsil Dehra, Kangra.
3. Sh. Satyapal Kaundal s/o Sh. Jamna Dass, Ward No. 3, Nagar Parishad Dehra, Tehsil Dehra, Distt. Kangra.
4. Sh. Naresh Sood s/o Sh. Mansa Ram Sood, Ward NO. 4, NP Dehra, Tehsil Dehra, Distt. Kangra.

**Nagar Panchayat Amb, Distt. Una:**

1. Sh. Kuldeep Sharma s/o Sh. Jagat Ram, r/o Ward No. 4, Amb, Tehsil Amb, Distt. Una.
2. Sh. Ritesh Paliyal s/o Late Sh. Deshdeep Choudhary, Ward No. 2, Amb, P.O. Amb, Tehsil Amb, Distt. Una.
3. Sh. Sagarsh Sharma s/o Sh. Ram Prakash Sharma, Ward No. 2, Tehsil Amb, Distt. Una.

**Municipal Council Nagrota Bagwan, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Mohinder Mehra s/o sh. Brij Lal, Ward No. 6, MC Nagrota Bagwan, PO & Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, Kangra.
2. Sh. Rohit Kumar s/o Sh. Kiran Kumar, Ward No. 5, MC Nagrota Bagwan, PO And Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, Kangra.
3. Sh. Balram Puri s/o Late Sh. Ami Chand, Ward No. 2, MC Nagrota Bagwan, PO And Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, Kangra.
4. Sh. Amit Kumar s/o Sh. Bidhi Chand, Ward No. 7, MC Nagrota Bagwan, PO And Tehsil Nagrota Bagwan, Kangra

**Nagar Panchayat Jawali, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Ankur Bhadwal s/o Sh. Ashwani Kumar, VPO Jwali, Distt. Kangra.
2. Sh. Satish Kumar s/o Sh. Chanan Ram (puu jke), VPO Jwali, Distt. Kangra
3. Sh. Gurdeep Singh s/o Sh. Sujan singh, VPO Jwali, Distt. Kangra.

**Nagar Panchyat Bhota, Distt. Hamirpur:**

1. Sh. Chandarshekhar s/o Sh. Prem Chand, VPO Bhota, Tehsil Badsar, Distt. Hamirpur
2. Sh. Vinod Katna s/o Sh. Chuni Lal, VPO Bhota, Tehsil Badsar, Distt. Hamirpur
3. Sh. Deep Ram s/o Sh. Shiv Kumar, Ward NO. 1, VPO Bhota, Tehsil Badsar, Distt. Hamirpur

**Nagar Panchayat Chowari, Distt. Chamba :**

1. Sh. Rattan Singh s/o Sh. Chamaru Ram, Ward No. 2, Chowari
2. Smt. Nirmala Devi w/o Sh. Rajpal Singh, Ward No. 4, Chowari,

- 
3. Sh. Divya Chakshu s/o Sh. Krishan Kumar, Ward No. 7, Chowari

**Municipal Council Ghumarwin, Distt Bilaspur :**

1. Advocate Kuldeep Lakhanpal s/o late Sh. Rup Lal, Vill. Tikkari, P.O. Ghumarwin, Ward No. 6, Bilaspur
2. Sh. Sandeep Sharma s/o Late Sh. Gian Chand, Subhas Colony (Harkukar), W.No. 4, PO Ghumarwin, Bilaspur
3. Sh. Surender Kumar Sharma s/o Sh. Purshotam Das Sharma, W. No. 2 Indira Ward, Village Balh, PO and Tehsil Ghumarwin, Bilaspur.
4. Sh. Milkhi Ram s/o Late Sh. Gandhi Ram, Ward No. 3 Amedkar Ward, VPO and Tehsil Ghumarwin, Bilaspur.

**Nagar Panchayat Baijnath, Distt. Kangra:**

1. Sh. Parkash Dogra s/o Sh. Prabhu Dyal Dogra, VPO Baijnath, NP Baijnath, Distt. Kangra.
2. Sh. Virender Rana s/o Sh. Shubh Karan Singh Rana, Village & P.O. Pritnagar, NP Baijnath, Distt. Kangra.
3. Sh. Sanjay Soni s/o Sh. Babu Ram, VPO Paprola, NP Baijnath, Distt. Kangra

**Municipal Council Una, Distt. Una :**

1. Sh. Khamosh Jaitak s/o Sh. Manmohan Gopal, Shiwala Mohalla, Ward No. 6, Near Geeta Mandir Una (M-98171 73666).
2. Capt. Charan Das s/o Sh. Gurdass Ram, Ward No. 11, Mohalla Nela Ghat, Una (M-9482304384).
3. Dr. Subash Kumar Sharma s/o late Sh. Ram Chand Sharma, Ward No. 4, Vikas Nagar, opposite Indira Stadium, H.No. 1427, Una.
4. Sh. Balwinder Singh s/o Ujagar Singh, Mohalla Galua, Ward No. 3, Una.

**Municipal Council Hamirpur, Distt. Hamirpur :**

1. Sh. Nitin Patial, House No. 9, Ward No. 1, Hira Nagar, Hamirpur
2. Sh. Kamlesh Chand, H.No. 87, Ward No. 5, Brij Nagar, Near Girls School, Hamirpur
3. Sh. Vipin Sharma, H.No. 97, Ward No. 2, Hamirpur
4. Sh. Manish Puri, H.No. 66, Ward No. 4, Shiv Nagar, Hamirpur

**Nagar Panchayat Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur :**

1. Sh. Mohani Lal s/o late Sh. Kaka Ram, Ward No. 6, Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur

2. Sh. Neeraj Jain s/o Sh. Ramesh Jain, W.No. 3, Near Post office Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur.
3. Sh. Arpit Sethi s/o Sh. Ajay Sethi, W.No. 5, Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur.

**Nagar Panchayat Tahliwal, Distt. Una :**

1. Sh. Kuldeep Chand s/o Sh. Bakshi Ram, W.No. 7, NP Tahliwal, Distt. Una
2. Sh. Pardeep Kumar s/o Sh. Joginder Singh, Ward No. 4, NP Tahliwal, Distt. Una
3. Sh. Ashok Thakur s/o Sh. Jai Singh, Ward No. 3, NP Tahliwal, Distt. Una

**Nagar Panchayat Dalautpur, Distt. Una**

1. Sh. Arun Kumar s/o Sh. Desh Raj, Ward No. 1, Dalautpur Chowk, Una
2. Sh. Jog Raj s/o Sh. Dhani Ram, Ward No. 4, Dalautpur Chowk, Una
3. Sh. Rakesh Kumar s/o Sh. Banshi Lal, Ward No. 6, Dalautpur Chowk, Una

**Nagar Panchayat Gagret, Distt. Una :**

1. Sh. Akshay Puri s/o Sh. Vinod Puri, ward no. 1, NAC Gagret, VPO Gagret, Una
2. Sh. Bharam Singh s/o sh. Hemraj Singh, W.No.4, NAC Gagret, Una
3. Sh. Sumit Sharma s/o Sh. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Ward No. 7, NAC Gagret, Una

**Nagar Panchayat Talai, Distt. Bilaspur**

1. Sh. Chaman Lal, s/o Sh. Sita Ram , VPO Talai, Tehsil Jhanduta
2. Sh. Neeraj Katna s/o Sh. Chuni Lal, VPO Talai, Tehsil Jhanduta
3. Smt. Raksha Devi w/o Sh. Ram Singh, VPO Talai, Tehsil Jhanduta

**Municipal Council Dalhousie, Distt. Chamba :**

1. Sh. Aman Mahindru s/o Sh. Satish Mahindru, r/o Ward No. 5, P.O. Sadar Bazaar Dalhousie, Tehsil Dalhousie, Chamba.
2. Sh. Ajay Kumar s/o Sh. Lal Chand, r/o Ward No. 4, P.O. Sadar bazaar Dalhousie, Tehsil Dalhousie, Chamba.
3. Sh. Sourabh Mehra S/o Sh. Prem Mehra, r/o Ward No. 3, GPO Dalhousie, Tehsil Dalhousie, Chamba.
4. Sh. Tilak Raj Sharma S/o Sh. Chaman Lal, R/o Ward No. 8, Moti Tibba Dalhousie, Tehsil Dalhousie, Chamba.

**Municipal Council Chamba, Distt. Chamba :**

1. Sh. Hameet Singh Bhattyani s/o Late sh. Nirmal Singh, Moh. Kasakra, PO Sultanpur, Tehsil and Distt. Chamba.

2. Sh. Sanjay Kumar Mahajan s/o Late Sh. Vaishno Das, Moh. Upper Julakhari, P.O. Hardaspura, Tehsil and Distt. Chamba.
3. Sh. Gurdev Mehra s/o Sh. Sudesh Pal Mehra, Moh. Sapri, P.O. Chamba, Tehsil and Distt. Chamba.
4. Sh. Swapan Mahajan s/o Sh. Suresh Mahajan, Mah., Mohalla Mugla, P.O. Hardaspura, Tehsil and Distt. Chamba.

By order,

RAJNEESH  
Principal Secretary (UD).

## URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla-2, the 2nd August, 2021*

**No. UD-A(1)-3/2021.**—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(4) of Section 10 of the Himachal Pradesh Municipal Act, 1994, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to nominate the following persons as members (Government nominee) in following Municipal Councils/ Nagar Panchayats in Himachal Pradesh:—

#### **Municipal Council Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi**

1. Sh. Rajeev Sood s/o Sh. Ram Prakash, C/o Adarsh Store, Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi.
2. Sh. Ajay Saklani, s/o sh. Sher Singh, Ward No.1, VPO Joginder Nagar, Distt.Mandi
3. Sh. Manup Kumar s/o Sh. Prem Chand, Villae Garour, PO & Tehsil Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi.
4. Sh. Suresh Goswami s/o Sh. Sunder Lal Goswami, Ward No. 6, Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi.

#### **Nagar Panchayat Rewalsar, Distt.Mandi**

1. Sh. Hoshiyar Chand Gupta s/o Sh. Narottam Ram, Ward No. 2, VPO Rewalsar, Distt.Mandi.
2. Sh. Ram Chander Sharma s/o Sh. Nand Lal, Ward No. 1, VPO Rewalsar, Distt. Mandi.
3. Sh. Mohan Krishan s/o Sh. Sharan Dass, Ward No. 3, VPO Rewalsar, Distt. Mandi.

#### **Municipal Council Nerchowk, Distt. Mandi**

1. Sh. Sagar Kaundal s/o Sh. Ram Dittu, VPO Nerchowk, C/o Sagar Communication Tehsil Balh, Distt.Mandi.



2. Sh. Govind Thakur s/o Sh. Devi Dass thakur, Naval Electrical & General Store, VPO Nerchowk, Tehsil Balh, Mandi.
3. Sh. Jodh Singh s/o Sh. Khadaku Ram, VPO Nerchowk, Tehsil Balh, Mandi
4. Sh. Manoj Kumar s/o Sh. Lakhan singh, Village Majhthyal, PO Bhangrotu, Tehsil Balh, Mandi.

**Nagar Panchayat Karsog, Distt. Mandi**

1. Sh. Baldev Chand Mahajan s/o Late Sh. Sudan Ram, Ward No.1, Karsog, Distt. Mandi
2. Sh. Naveen Gupta s/o Late Sh. Dhani Ram Gupta, Ward No.6, Nagar Panchayat Karsog, Distt. Mandi.
3. Sh. Matidhar Gupta s/o Late Sh. Nanak Chand Gupta, Ward No. 5, Nagar Panchayat Karsog, Distt. Mandi.

**Nagar Panchayat Banjar, Distt. Kullu**

1. Sh. Netar Prakash s/o Sh. Bhoop Singh, W.No.1, Dadudhar, Nagar Panchayat Banjar, Banjar, Distt. Kullu.
2. Smt. Anar Kali w/o sh. Ses Ram, W.No. 2, Sagar Colony, Nagar Panchayat Banjar, Banjar, Distt. Kullu.
3. Smt. Seema Khanna w/o Sh. Deepak Khanna, W.No. 3, Main Bazar, NP Banjar, Banjar, Distt. Kullu.

**Nagar Panchayat Anni, Distt.Kullu**

1. Sh. Vinod Chandel s/o Sh. Ganga Ram Chandel, VPO & Tehsil Anni, Distt. Kullu.
2. Sh. Swatantar Kumar Advocate s/o late Sh. Harbans Lal, VPO & Teh Anni, Distt. Kullu.
3. Sh. Yub Raj Thakur S/o Sh. Tabe Ram, VPO & Tehsil Anni, Distt. Kullu

**Nagar Panchayat Nirmand, Distt. Kullu.**

1. Sh. Tej Prakash s/o Sh. Narsingh Dutt, VPO Nirmand, Tehsil Nirman, Distt. Kullu
2. Sh. Vikrant Bhardwaj s/o Sh. Deepak Chand, VPO & Tehsil Nirmand, Distt. Kullu
3. Sh. Diwakar Sharma s/o Sh. Nand Kishor, VPO and Tehsil Nirmand, Distt. Kullu

**Nagar Panchayat Bhuntar, Distt. Kullu**

1. Sh Aneesh Sood s/o late Sh. Prakash Chand Sood, Ward No. 2 Bhuntar, Distt. Kullu
2. Sh. Vijay Pandey s/o Sh. Ram Dev Pandey, Ward No. 3, Bhuntar, Distt. Kullu
3. Sh. Rajesh Jambal s/o Sh. Sant Ram , Ward No. 4, Bhuntar, Distt. Kullu

**Municipal Council Kullu, Distt. Kullu**

1. Sh. Rajender Sood s/o Late Sh. Amar Nath Sood, Ward No. 1, Ramshilla Kullu, HP, Distt. Kullu.
2. Sh. Lokesh Koundal s/o Late Sh. Prem Chand Koundal, r/o Sarvari Bazaar, Tehsil and Distt. Kullu.
3. Sh. Arun Kamboj s/o Sh. Swantantar Kamboj, Ward No. 9 Dhalpur, Kullu
4. Smt. Mamta Badhwa w/o Sh. Gopal Badhwa, Ward No. 11 Gandhi Nagar, Distt. Kullu.

**Nagar Panchayat Chopal, Distt. Shimla**

1. Sh. Govind Sharma s/o late Sh. Beli Ram, r/o 52, Ward No. 3, NAC Chopal, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Ravinder Chandel s/o Sh. Mehar Singh, r/o 1 G, Ward No. 1, NAC Chopal, Distt. Shimla.
3. Sh. Arun Thakur s/o Sh. Attar Singh Thakur, r/o 167/5, Probhat Kunj, Near PWD, Rest House Chopal, Distt. Shimla.

**Nagar Panchayat Nerwa, Distt. Shimla**

1. Sh. Virender Tangdaik s/o Sh. Chatter Singh, r/o Tangraik Niwas, Sheekyar Nerwa, Ward No. 7, Nerwa, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Aman Sood s/o Sh. Ratnesh Sood, r/o Sood Niwas, Near Hospital Nerwa, NAC, Ward No. 3 Nerwa, Distt. Shimla.
3. Sh. Narender Shatiak s/o Sh. Liak Ram, , Shatiak Niwas Near Durga Mata Mandir, Ward No. 5, Nerwa, Distt. Shimla.

**Nagar Panchayat Narkanda, Distt. Shimla**

1. Dr. Lucky Dogra S/o Sh. Satish Dogra, VPO Narkanda, Tehsil Kumarsain, Distt. Shimla.
2. Smt. Sunita Kainthla w/o Sh. Suresh Kainthla, VPO Narkanda, Tehsil Kumarsain, Distt. Shimla.
3. Sh. Himanshu Sharma s/o Sh. Vijay Sharma, Ward No. 3, VPO Narkanda, Tehsil Kumarsain, Distt. Shimla.

**Municipal Council Rohroo, Distt. Shimla**

1. Sh. Sumesh Chauhan s/o late Sh. Atma Ram, C/o M/s City Fashion Rohroo, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Bhim Thakur s/o Sh. Jaswant Singh, Thakur Brothers, Hospital Road Rohroo, Distt. Shimla.

3. Sh. Pramod Sharma s/o Sh. Sohan Lal, Ward No. 1, Near Patwarkhana, Rohroo, Distt. Shimla.
4. Sh. Atish Azad s/o Sh. Ram Lal, Azad Niwas, Patwarkhana, Rohroo, Distt. Shimla

**Nagar Panchyat Chirgaon, Distt. Shimla**

1. Sh. Balwant Bishat s/o Sh. Sher Singh, Village Bhoura, Ward No. 5, PO & Tehsil Chirgaon, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Avtar Sharma s/o Sh. Gouri Sharma, Gori Complex, Chirgaon, Distt. Distt. Shimla
3. Sh. Pratap Rana s/o Sh. Prem Singh, Village Chirgaon, Tehsil Chirgaon, Distt. Shimla.

**Municipal Council Theog, Distt. Shimla**

1. Sh. Nand Kishor s/o late Sh. Roshan Lal, Ward No. 5, Krishna Gali, Theog, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Ashwani Kumar s/o late Sh. Surat Ram, Ward No. 6, Near Civil Hospital, Theog, Distt. Shimla.
3. Smt. Seema Kashyap w/o Sh. Duni Chand Kashyap, Kashyap Niwas, Theog, Distt. Shimla.
4. Sh. Varun Sood S/o Late sh. Suresh Kumar Sood, Varun Vaster Bhandar Shalli Bazaar, Theog, Distt. Shimla.

**Municipal Council Rampur, Distt. Shimla**

1. Smt. Manisha Mittal w/o Sh. Vipin Mittal, 36/2, Ward No. 3, Rampur Bushehar, Tehsil Rampur, Distt. Shimla.
2. Sh. Kamal Bhardwaj s/o Sh. Man Mohan Sharma, 39, Ward No. 5, Rampur, Distt. Shimla.
3. Sh. Shyam Lal Gupta s/o Late Sh. Kishori Lal, C/o Himachal Sports Corner, Main Bazar Rampur Bushehar, Distt. Shimla.
4. Sh. Suneel Kumar Negi s/o Late Sh. Pratap Singh, Ward No. 5, Khopri, Rampur, Distt. Shimla.

**Nagar Panchayat Kandaghat, Distt. Solan**

1. Sh. Chander Bhushan Gupta s/o Sh. Inder Pal, r/o old court Road, Ward No. 6, PO and Tehsil Kandaghat, Distt. Solan.
2. Sh. Bhisham Sharma s/o Sh. Ramender Dutt Sharma, r/o Pujarli, Ward No. 7, PO and Tehsil Kandaghat, Distt. Solan.
3. Sh. Raj Kumar Sharma s/o Late Sh. Sita Ram Sharma, Main Bazaar Kandaghat, Ward No. 3, PO and Tehsil Kandaghat, Distt. Solan.

**Municipal Council Baddi, Distt. Solan**

1. Sh. Dinesh Kumar s/o Sh. Om Prakash , Ward No.2, Baddi, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan
2. Sh. Agya Ram s/o Sh. Gian Chand, Ward No. 1, Baddi, Tehsil Baddi, Distt. Solan
3. Sh. Chanan Ram s/o Sh. Devi Ram, Ward No. 8, Baddi, Distt. Solan
4. Sh. Sita Ram Choudhary, s/o late Sh. Prittam Singh, Ward No. 7, Baddi, Distt. Solan

**Municipal Council Nalagarh, Distt. Solan**

1. Smt. Deepika Sharma w/o Sh. Sanjeev Kumar (Tinka), Ward No. 1, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan.
2. Sh. Anil Kumar Arora s/o Sh. Mulkh Raj Arora, Ward No. 6, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan
3. Sh. Saurabh Dhiman s/o Sh. Hari Ram, Ward No. 7, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan
4. Sh. Suraj Bishat s/o Sh. Mohan Singh Bishat, Ward No. 2, Nalagarh, Distt. Solan

**Municipal Council Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmaur**

1. Sh. Rajinder Singh Man s/o Sh. Santokh Singh Man, H.No. 210, Ward No. 4, Durga Colony , Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmaur.
2. Sh. Ashok Kumar Sharma s/o Sh. Sukhbir singh, H.No. 220/7, Ward No. 4, Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmour.
3. Sh. Mayank Mahadar s/o late Sh. Hem Raj , Ganesh Cloth Emporium, Main Bazar Poanta Sahib, Distt. Sirmour.
4. Sh. Manjeet Kumar Chaudhary s/o Sh. Jagdish Chand, H.No. 157, Ward No. 6 Bangran Road Paonta Sahib, Distt. Sirmaur.

**Municipal Council Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur**

1. Sh. Vishal Tomar s/o Sh. Rupender Singh Tomar, ward No. 4, Nahan, Distt. Sirmour
2. Sh. Amit Attri s/o sh. S.K. Attri, Ward No. 5, Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur
3. Sh. Vijay Choriya s/o Sh. Babu Ram, Ward No. 11 , Nahan, Distt. Sirmour
4. Sh. Durgesh Chaudhary s/o Sh. Davender Chaudhary, Mall Road, Nahan, Distt. Sirmaur.

**Municipal Council Parwanoo, Distt. Solan**

1. Sh. Vinod Thakur s/o Late Sh. Ram Singh Thakur, Block No. 47-B/2, Sector-06 Parwanoo, Tehsil Kasauli, Distt. Solan.
2. Sh. Raj Kumar s/o Sh. Chhanga Ram, r/o LIG-15 B2, Sector -04 Parwanoo, Tehsil Kasauli, Distt. Solan.

3. Sh. Ram Dhyan Singh s/o Sh. Ram Avtar Singh, House No. -7A, B1, Sector-04, Parwanoo, Tehsil Kasauli, Distt. Solan.
4. Sh. Vineet Goel, s/o sh. Satya Paul Goel, House No. HIG-02, Sector-1-A, Parwanoo, Tehsil Kasauli, Distt. Solan.

By order,  
RAJNEESH,  
*Principal Secretary (UD).*

## LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

*Dated, the 17th July, 2021*

**No. Shram (A) 3-8/2021 (Awards).**—In exercise of the powers vested under section 17 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the publication of awards of the following cases announced by the Presiding Judge, Labour Court, Shimla on the website of the Department of Labour & Employment Government of Himachal Pradesh:—

Sl. No.	Reference/ Application	Title	Section
1.	Ref. 92/2016	Sh. Shalender Tomar & 127 Ors <i>V/s</i> Vice Chancellor, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry Nauni, & Ors.	10

By order,

RAM SUBHAG SINGH, IAS  
*Addl. Chief Secretary (Lab. & Emp.).*

**Shalender Tomar & 10 other workers Vs. Dr. Y. S Parmar University, Nauni, Solan**

**(Reference No. 92 of 2016)**

**IN THE COURT OF GHIRAG BHANU SINGH, PRESIDING JUDGE, H.P. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, SHIMLA**

Reference No. 92 of 2016

Instituted on 3-10-2016

Decided on 4-5-2021

Shalender Tomar and 127 other workmen employed in Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P. through J. C. Bhardwaj, President, H.P. AITUC HQ Saproon, Solan, H.P. . *Petitioners.*

*Versus*

1. The Vice Chancellor, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P.
2. Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P. through its Registrar.
3. Prop. M/s Cleanways, Sahib Niwas, Secotr-2, New Shimla . . . Respondents.

### Reference under section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act

For petitioners : Shri J.C. Bhardwaj, AR

For respondents No. 1 & 2: H. R. Thakur, Advocate

For respondent No. 3 : Shri Kuldeep Guleria, Advocate

### AWARD

The following reference was received for adjudication from the appropriate Government:

"Whether demands raised by Shri Shelender Tomar & 10 other workers *c/o* Shri J.C Bhardwaj, President, H.P. AITUC, Saproon, District Solan, H.P. *vide* demand notice dated 11-12-2013 (copy enclosed) in respect of workers working the Dr. Y.S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P. through M/s Cleanways, Sahib Niwas, Sector-2, New Shimla for fulfilment of demands by the Vice Chancellor and Registrar, Dr. Y. S. Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, District Solan, H.P. are legal and justified? If yes, what monetary benefits, past service benefits, seniority, compensation and any other relief the concerned workers are entitled to from the above university/employer?"

2. In furtherance to the reference it is the averred case of the petitioners that the 127 workers mentioned in Annexure PA had been engaged by the respondent University directly, but subsequently started showing them to be the workers of the contractor. The University had supplied a list of workmen during the conciliation proceedings on 30-6-2014, admitting that 114 workmen who had been working on the rolls of the University have been handed over to M/s Cleanways (respondent No. 3).

3. It is further the case of the petitioners that many of these workmen had been issued appointment letters by the respondent University and they had been engaged on contractual basis. Their names were transferred to the rolls of the contractor, which is nothing but an unfair labour practice. The respondent University never obtained any permission from the appropriate authority to outsource the work on contract basis. The action of the respondent University is stated to be a sham and an ingeniune effort to deprive the workmen of their legitimate benefits like their counterparts engaged as class-IV employees.

4. It is further the case of the petitioners that the principal employer *i.e.* respondent University had never applied for registration with the licencing authority under the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970. Even otherwise the perennial nature of work cannot be taken through the contractor as it is prohibited under section 10 of the aforesaid Act. The workmen in fact are directly employed by the respondent University and were entitled for the same salary and

benefits which were being paid to the directly employed class-IV employees of the respondent University. The petitioners have been working continuously and were undergoing perennial work for years together. The petitioners had also been deprived of their statutory benefits under PF and ESI Scheme.

5. Consequently, the workers had been constrained to submit a demand notice to the respondent University on 16-12-2013 (Annexure PB) whereby they demanded that they be declared to be the workers of the University and be absorbed therein. They have also demanded wages and service conditions, as applicable to the Class-IV employees, directly employed by the University. They had sought to clear the disparity in wages with directly employed workmen of the University and the petitioners had also sought for the enforcement of the principles of "Equal pay for Equal work".

6. It is further averred that the controlling authority of these workmen were none others but the officers of the University. The work is generally supervised by the technical staff of the University. The job and work of these workmen shown to be on the rolls of the name lending contractor, was also similar to the work being performed by the directly engaged/employed workmen of the University. The work and conduct of these workmen has been excellent throughout. The respondents are further stated to have violated the provisions of section 25 (2)-(V) (a) of the Contract Labour Act as they were being paid less wages as compared to the workmen directly employed by the principal employer.

7. The respondent University squarely falls under the domain and Article 12 of the Constitution of India and it is infringing the fundamental rights of the workmen.

8. It is thus prayed that the names of the workmen shown in Annexure PA may be declared as Class-IV employees/workmen of the respondent University and they may be granted all service benefits at par with the similar situated Class-IV employees/workmen of the respondent University with retrospective effect *i.e.* from the date of demand notice has been issued (16-12-2013) and the claim be allowed with costs throughout.

9. While contesting the claim the respondents No. 1 & 2 have filed a joint reply, while respondents No. 3 has filed a separate reply.

10. The respondents No. 1 & 2 while contesting the claim have raised preliminary objections that the petitioners could not have filed a joint reference/claim petition and they were engaged by the contractor namely M/s Shimla Cleanways and thereafter by M/s Rainbow Enterprises, Shimla and as such it was not maintainable qua the respondents No. 1 & 2. It is also the grouse of the University that it being an educational institute does not fall under the jurisdiction of this Court. The claim is also stated to be bad for want of necessary parties as the contractor and the Principal Secretary, Horticulture to the Government of Himachal Pradesh have not been made necessary parties.

11. It is also the case of the respondent University that as per the standing instructions regarding engagement of labour on contractual basis, the labour had been engaged on contractual basis under *ad hoc* to do manual work on seasonal basis and that too only for a period of 89 days before the work was awarded to M/s Shimla Cleanways and hence the present claim is not maintainable.

12. It is also the objection of the respondent University that the labourer had been engaged for seasonal work and that too for a period of 89 days and after the said period, their services were automatically terminated in accordance with the terms and conditions of their appointment letters.

On this ground too, the present claim deserves to be quashed. One of the last preliminary objections raised by the respondent University is that the Government of Himachal Pradesh has imposed a ban on the engagement of the persons on daily wage basis or part time, contract, *ad hoc* except with the concurrence of the Finance Department *vide* letter dated 18-6-2014. The petitioners cannot be taken on the rolls of the respondent University as they were the employees of the contractor.

13. On merits, it is the case of the respondent University that labourers were generally engaged under *ad hoc* projects going on in the respondent University, on contractual basis for a specific spell of 89 days where after their services were automatically terminated in accordance with the terms and conditions of their engagement letters and in this behalf undertakings on judicial stamp papers were executed by the labourers with the concerned department of the University. The respondent University introduced the outsourcing of unskilled labour through Government registered contractor *w.e.f.* May, 2013, through open tenders. The Director Research of the respondent University has got the name registered with the licencing authority. Prior to the said the respondent University had been engaging labour as per the standing instructions issued in this behalf for engagement of labourers on contractual basis implemented *w.e.f.* 1-1-2002 (Annexure R-1). All engagements of labourers were made on a fixed salary on contractual basis for a period of 89 days in one spell or two spells in the entire year, after a break of at least 15 days in each spell. They were engaged for essential seasonal jobs subject to availability of funds. However, after 2013, the Director of Research floated tenders for outsourcing the unskilled labour service *w.e.f.* 1-5-2013 to 31-3-2014 in the first instance and the contract had been awarded to M/s Shimla Cleanways (respondent No.3) till 2015 and thereafter *w.e.f.* April 2015 to 31-3-2017, the contract was awarded to one M/s Rainbow Enterprises. The University has further reiterated that as per the instructions of the Government issued by the Additional Chief Secretary Finance *vide* letter dated 18-6-2014, engagement of persons on daily wage basis or part time, contractual or *ad hoc* had been banned except with the concurrence of the Finance Department.

14. It is denied that the petitioners at the first instance had been engaged directly by the respondent University and their names had been transferred on to the rolls of the contractor due to unfair labour practices. It is denied that the principal employer never applied for registration under the Contract Labour Act. As per the respondent University, the Director of the respondent University had been got registered with the licencing authority. It is further denied that the petitioners were engaged by the respondent University for perennial and permanent nature of work. It is averred by the respondent University that the labourers are not entitled to the benefits of annual increments, leave etc. On the analogy of other regular Class-IV employees of the respondent University. It is denied that they are entitled to same pay and perks, at par with the regular Class-IV employees.

15. It is further the contention of the respondent University that they had outsourced the labourer through Government registered contractor for the execution of seasonal field operations. They were working on *ad hoc* research projects and were not performing the work of Class-IV regular employees of the University.

16. It is also the case of the respondent University that it was facing acute financial crises and had sought additional grant-in-aid amounting to 42.46 crore to defray the payment of arrears of employees pending from the year 2011 onwards including retiral benefits of retirees. The respondent University had also sought permission of the State of Himachal Pradesh to raise a loan amounting to 8 crores from the State Bank of Patiala at Nauni for the release of salary and pension of the employees and retirees of the respondent University *vide* letter dated 20-2-2017. The petitioners thus cannot be absorbed into the establishment of the University. Rest of the contentions raised by the petitioners have been denied.



17. The respondent No. 3 has raised preliminary objections *vis-a-vis* maintainability, mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary parties and the petitioners having concealed material facts from this Tribunal. The claim is also stated to be not maintainable due to the own acts, conduct, omission and acquiescence of the petitioners. On merits, it is the contention of the respondent No. 3 that he was awarded the work contract to provide the workmen on outsource basis in the year 2013 and since then many new contractors had been awarded work but the petitioners had deliberately only made the replying respondent only as a party. The petitioners never objected nor raised any protest when the replying respondent was awarded work and voluntarily kept on working on the rolls of the respondent No. 3. The replying respondent had been paying salary as per the contract and was also paying their requisite contribution for the period he had been awarded the contract. The other averments in the claim were denied since they pertained to the respondent University.

18. While filing rejoinder to the reply filed by respondents No. 1 & 2, the petitioners controverted the averments in the reply filed by respondents No. 1 & 2 and further reiterated those in the statement of claim.

19. I notice that on 25-10-2017, the following issues came to be framed by my Learned Predecessor:

1. Whether the demands raised by Shri Shelender Tomer & 10 other workers *vide* demand notice dated 11-12-2013 in respect of workers working in respondent university through respondent No 3 are legal and justified as alleged? ..*OPP*.
2. If issue No. 1 is proved in affirmative, to what relief of service benefits the aforesaid petitioners/workers are entitled? ..*OPP*
3. Whether the claim petition is not maintainable as alleged? .. *OPR*.
4. Relief :

20. I have heard the Ld. Counsel for the parties and gone through the material placed on record. My findings on the issues framed are thus:—

Issue No. 1	Partly yes
Issue No. 2	Per operative part
Issue No. 3	No
Relief :	Reference is partly allowed in favour of the petitioners and against the respondents per operative part of the award.

### REASONS OF FINDINGS

*Issues No. 1 & 2 :*

21. Both these issues being correlated and intermingled are being taken up together for decision.

22. Though, many demands were raised by the workers *vide* demand notice dated 11-10-2013 but the substantive relief claimed in the statement of claim is that these workmen be declared as Class-IV/workmen of the respondent University and all service benefits be granted to

them at par with the similar situate Class-IV employees of the respondent University with retrospective effect *i.e.* with effect from the date when the demand notice was raised.

23. At the very outset it would be relevant to point out here that admittedly the petitioner workmen cannot claim parity with the regular Class-IV employees of the respondent University, as the workmen have come to be appointed on contract basis (on daily wage basis) by the respondent University and as such they were never either appointed as Class-IV employees, nor were they working against any such substantive posts, having been appointed regularly by the respondent University.

24. The main dispute, however, hinges upon the fact as to whether the workmen were engaged by the University and as such continued to be their workmen and as to whether the action of the respondent University in handing over to the contractor M/s Shimla Cleanways from the year 2013 is legally sustainable or not.

25. It is primarily the case of the workers that they had been initially engaged by the University directly and it was only in the year 2013 that their services were transferred to the contractor and that too without any notice regarding the change of their service conditions. They had been working with the respondent University regularly, though, they were given artificial breaks but the work was of perennial nature.

26. Per contra the stand of the respondent University was that before awarding of contracts to M/s Shimla Cleanways, the workers had been engaged on contractual basis under *ad hoc* projects to do manual work, on seasonal basis and that too only for a period of 89 days and as such no seniority of the labourers was maintained.

27. It was also sought to be portrayed that the Government of Himachal Pradesh has imposed a ban for the engagement of persons on daily wage basis or part time, *ad hoc* except with the concurrence of the Finance Department of the Government of Himachal Pradesh, *vide* letter dated 18-6-2004.

28. While substantiating their respective claims the petitioners have examined two witnesses namely Hem Raj (PW-1) and one Praveen Kumar (PW-2). Both happens to be the President and General Secretary of workers union respectively. Apart from tendering their affidavits by way of evidence (Ex. PW-1/A and Ex. PW-2/A), which is nothing but a reiteration of the facts mentioned in the statement of claim, PW-1, Shri Hem Raj, has placed on record the list of workers covered under reference *vide* Ex. PW-1/B. He has also placed on record the copy of the demand notice Ex. PW-1/D and the reply filed by the University Ex. PW-1/F. A seniority list of the workers handed over to the contractor by the University has also been placed on record *vide* Ex. PW-1/G. Apart from other documents PW-1 has placed on record the copies of appointment letters Ex. PW-1/R-1 and Ex. PW-1/R-2. Letters regarding service of DPL/beldar as mark P-3. Certificate dated 17-10-2015 Mark P-4 and the copy of the worksheet of contractual labour Mark P-7.

29. Praveen Kumar, PW-2 has also, apart from tendering his affidavit in evidence placed on record the appointment letters *vide* Ex. PW-2/B and Ex. PW-2/C.

30. The University had come to be proceeded *ex-parte vide* an order dated 20-8-2018 and as such could not cross-examine the witnesses examined by the petitioners. However, the respondent University was allowed to examine the witnesses by recalling both of them with the avowed objective of adjudicating the list completely, once and for all.

31. In the cross-examination both the witnesses have denied that the workers had been engaged for seasonal work. They have denied that they were never on the rolls of the University. Both the witnesses state that the University had issued appointment letters to them and other workers.

32. The respondent university on the other hand has merely examined one Senior Assistant from the office of Directorate of Research UHF, as RW-2. He has palced on record the standing instructions issued by the University regarding employing labour on contractual basis *vide* Ex. RW-2/A, which had been further reiterated in the year 2002 *vide* Ex. RW-2/B. He has also placed on record some instructions issued by the Government of Himachal Pradesh regarding appointment on secondment basis from various Boards/Corporations *vide* Ex. RW-2/C.

33. As per this witness, in the year 2013 tenders were invited to outsource the unskilled labour service for a period of one year and the tender had been awarded to M/s Shimla Cleanways, per notification dated 13-4-2013 (Ex. RW/D). Thereupon the work was outsourced from 13-8-2014 to 31-5-2015 *vide* Ex. RW-2/E and thereupon unskilled labour work was being outsourced on yearly basis as per the tender issued to the lowest bidder.

34. He has not deposed a word as to whether the labour so engaged was engaged only for seasonal work or they had been engaged only for 89 days, prior to the year 2013. Though, in his cross-examination he does admits that the petitioners had been working with the University even prior to the outsourcing. He thereupon hastened to add that the labourers had been appointed for 89 days and that too on contractual basis. He has denied that the petitioners have worked for periods ranging from 8-12 years. He admits that the University had sufficient work prior to outsourcing and even today the same work is being taken from the workers. He denies that the University has not been registered under the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Act before outsourcing the services of the petitioners but he cannot produce the documents today. He admits that the seniority list prepared before the Labour Officer relating to workers who were handed over to contractor bears his signatures. He denies having knowledge that the persons working on 89 days contract for more than five years have been regularized by the Government including those working in Boards, Corporations and other autonomous bodies.

35. RW-1 Shri Bhupesh Janartha happens to be one of the first contractors who was outsourced the aforesaid work in the year 2013. As per him he was awarded a work contract to provide workmen on outsource basis for the year 2013. The petitioners had joined him and never protested when the work was awarded to him. He has been paying salaries to the workmen as per the contract and has been complying with all the labour laws.

36. As per him his firm is registered with the Labour Department under the Shops and Commercial Establishment Act. He has not even brought the registration certificate. Though he submits that he does have a licence under the Contract Labour Act but the same has not been produced on record. In his cross-examination, though he further admits that the licence has not been issued by the Labour Officer Solan. Per him, he had applied for the same but since the principal employer had not filled in form V, which is a condition precedent for issuance of the licence, it had not been issued to him. He feigns ignorance of the workers having been engaged by the University earlier, though, he submits that the preference was given to the workers already working with the principal employer.

37. The testimony of the witnesses and the documentary evidence clearly goes to show that the workmen initially came to be engaged by the respondent University on daily wages (contract basis). It is not only clear from Ex. PW-1/R-1 and Ex. PW-1/R-2, PW-2/B and Ex. PW-2/C, which happens to be the appointment letters of various workmen but also other such letters,

Annexure P-23 dated 17-7-2003, Annexure P-23/1 dated 9-8-2007, Annexure P-24 dated 17-2-2006. The said factum is actually further corroborated by the documents placed on record by the respondent themselves, more particularly the standing instruction regarding engagement of labour issued *vide* Ex. RW-2/A and even Ex. RW-2/B.

38. The conjoint reading of Ex. RW-2/A and Ex. RW-2/B shows that apparently the workers were engaged on contractual basis. They came to be engaged for two spells of 89 days each and thereafter given fictional breaks to save itself from the process of regularization. It may well be said that the change effected by the respondent University may be a subterfuge adopted by the respondent University to deny the legitimate claim of the workmen, as was also sought to be urged by the AR for the petitioner by placing reliance upon a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court titled as Bhilwadi Dugdh Utpadak Sehkari Sabha Ltd. Vs. Vinod Kumar Sharma (2011) LLR 1019.

39. Though, the University has raised a plea that the workers were engaged for seasonal work but no evidence worth the name has been led by the respondent University to substantiate its claim. Except for a Senior Assistant who has been examined as RW-2, none has appeared in the witness box. This witness has nowhere deposed that the workers/labourers had been engaged for seasonal work. The plea of seasonal work having been raised should have been proved on record but the respondent University has miserably failed to do so. A bare glimpse of PW-1/G goes to show that the workers mentioned therein had been working with the University for the period ranging from 1997 till 2013. Ex. PW-1/G is purportedly a list of workers handed over to M/s Shimla Cleanways. The senior most worker mentioned therein one Sunita Devi apparently came to be engaged in the year 1997 and likewise workers had been engaged in 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007. It is thus clear that the workers have been working continuously and uninterruptedly with the respondent University, even if they were engaged on contractual basis or for 89 days, they had been working with the respondent University after being given fictional breaks all along.

40. Admittedly, the services of the said workers had been handed over to respondent No. 3 who had been awarded the work contract for providing labour on outsource basis which is also admitted even by respondent No.3.

41. What thus emerges from the evidence on record is that admittedly the service conditions of the workers/labourers who were working with the respondent University came to be changed in the year 2013 which was in gross violation of the provisions of section 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. No notice whatsoever had been issued to the workmen likely to be effected by such change. Admittedly, the respondent University was altering the existing rules of engagement *i.e* from contract to outsourcing and that the same would squarely falls within Clause-9 of the 4th Schedule of the Act and any such change in respect of any workmen was thus entitled to be notified to such workmen, which was admittedly not done. The respondent University issued Ex. RW-2/D without notifying the same to the workmen and as such it is in violation of section 9-A of the Act.

42. The respondent University has tried to draw support in changing the service conditions of the workmen by falling back upon a notification issued by the Government of Himachal Pradesh on 18-6-2004, placed on record as Ex. RW-2/C to contend that the State Government had directed all the Boards/Corporations /University in Himachal Pradesh not to fill any vacant post by direct recruitment without prior concurrence of Finance Department. Even engaging persons on contract, adhoc, daily wage or part time was also banned except with the concurrence with the Finance Department. I am afraid the said notification also does not auger to the benefit of respondent

University. First none prevented the respondent University from getting approval of the Finance Department and in case the approval was not forthcoming even then the respondent University was duty bound to have followed the provisions of section 9-A of the Act which is otherwise mandatory in nature and any non-compliance thereto, renders any change in service condition void-ab-nitio. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has also held so, that too as far back as the year 1999, in Lokmat Newspaper Pvt. Ltd. *Vs.* Shankar Parsad (AIR 1999) SC 2423. The respondent University thus had to atleast issue a notice of such change to the workmen, prior to changing their condition which admittedly was not done.

43. The action of the respondent University cannot be sustained. Though, the fact remains that the workers were only unskilled labourers and they cannot claim parity with Class-IV employees of the University but will have to be considered to be the workmen directly engaged by the University *i.e.* daily waged workers (contract basis). It has thus to be presumed that the workers were working directly with the respondent University from the respective dates of their initial engagements. They shall however be entitled to seniority and continuity in service from the dates of their engagement and the action of the respondent University in engaging them through outsourcing after 2013 without any notice is void-ab-nitio as has been held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lokmat Newspaper, discussed hereinabove *supra*. The workers shall be however entitled to regularization as per the regularization policy of the State Government applicable to similar situated contract workers *i.e.* daily wages (engaged on contract basis) at the relevant time.

44. Though, the AR for the petitioner has placed reliance upon a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court titled as G.B Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Nanital Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors. (2000 LLR 1189). Hindalco Industries Ltd *Vs.* Association of Engineering (2008 LLR 509 and Kanpur Electricity Supply Co. Ltd *Vs.* Shamim Mirza (2009 LLR 226). The aforesaid judgments pertained to the factors determining the control and supervision by the principal employer and its effect thereto. Since, the change of service conditions otherwise have already been held to be bad, the ratio of the aforesaid judgments will not be applicable to the facts and circumstances of the present case. The issue is thus decided against the respondents.

*Final order/Relief :*

For the foregoing reasons discussed herein *supra*, the reference is partly answered in favour of the petitioners and against the respondent University. Though, the petitioner and the other workmen cannot be declared as Class-IV employees of the University, as prayed for, nor can they claim parity in respect of service conditions, but it is held that the petitioners/workers were working directly with the respondent University though only as daily wagers (contract basis) from the respective dates of their initial engagements. They shall however be entitled to seniority and continuity, in service from the dates of their engagement and the action of the respondent University in engaging them through outsourcing after the year 2013, without any notice is held to be illegal. The reference is disposed off in the aforesaid terms. The workers shall be however entitled to regularization as per the regularization policy of the State Government applicable to similar situated workers *i.e.* daily wagers (contract basis) at the relevant time. Let a copy of this award be sent to the appropriate government for publication in the official gazette. File, after completion, be consigned to records.

Announced in the open Court today on this 4th day of May, 2021.

Sd/-  
(CHIRAG BHANU SINGH),  
*Presiding Judge,*  
*Industrial tribunal-cum-Labour Court Shimla.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 14th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/Admn.16 (20)75-III.**—The Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice, in exercise of the powers vested in him U/S 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, U/S 297(1) (b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and Rule 5(vi) of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment & Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to appoint Sh. Amit Kumar, Advocate (HIM/114/2014) as Oath Commissioner at Bilaspur for a period of two years with immediate effect for administering oaths and affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the aforesaid Codes and Rules.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 15th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/Admn.16(24)75-VI.**—The Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice, in exercise of the powers vested in him U/S 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, U/S 297(1) (b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and Rule 5(vi) of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment & Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to appoint Sh. Himanshu Vishwamitra (HIM/209/2014), Advocate, as Oath Commissioner at Una, H.P. for a period of two years with immediate effect for administering oaths and affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the aforesaid Codes and Rules.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 15th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/Admn.16(24)75-VI.**—The Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice, in exercise of the powers vested in him U/S 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, U/S 297(1) (b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and Rule 5(vi) of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment & Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to appoint Sh. Himanshu Vishwamitra (HIM/209/2014), Advocate, as Oath Commissioner at Una, H.P. for a period of two years with immediate effect for administering oaths and affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the aforesaid Codes and Rules.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 26th June, 2021*

**No. HHC/Admn.16 (6)74-I.**—The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, in exercise of the powers vested in it under Rule-4 of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment & Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to accord sanction for creation of 2(two) posts of Oath Commissioners for the newly created Court at Thunag, Distt. Mandi, H.P. with immediate effect.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 12th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-376/2016.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant 08 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 13-7-2021 to 20-7-2021 with permission to suffix Gazetted holiday falling on 21-7-2021 in favour of Sh. Parth Jain, Civil Judge-*cum*-JMIC, Tissa, District Chamba, H.P.

Certified that Sh. Parth Jain is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Sh. Parth Jain would have continued to hold the post of Civil Judge-*cum*-JMIC, Tissa, District Chamba, H.P., but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 20th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-238/99-I.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant 03 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 22-7-2021 to 24-7-2021 with permission to prefix Gazetted holiday falling on 21-7-2021 and suffix Sunday falling on 25-7-2021 in favour of Shri Pawanjit Singh, District and Sessions Judge (Leave/Training Reserve), High Court of H.P.

Certified that Shri Pawanjit Singh is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri Pawanjit Singh would have continued to hold the post of District and Sessions Judge (Leave/Training Reserve), High Court of H.P., but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 26th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-236/99-I.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant ex-post facto sanction of 27 days commuted leave *w.e.f.* 24-11-2020 to 20-12-2020 in favour of Sh. Aman Sood, Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P.

Certified that Sh. Aman Sood had joined the same post and at the same station from where he had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Sh. Aman Sood would have continued to hold the post of Additional District and Sessions Judge, Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P., but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 3rd July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-380/2017.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant ex-post facto sanction of 02 days commuted leave for 22-4-2021 and 23-4-2021 in favour of Ms. Vatsala Chaudhary, Civil Judge-cum-JMIC, Chachiot at Gohar, District Mandi, H.P.

Certified that Ms. Vatsala Chaudhary had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Vatsala Chaudhary would have continued to hold the post of Civil Judge-cum-JMIC, Chachiot at Gohar, District Mandi H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 13th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-372/2016.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant ex-post facto sanction of 09 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 16-4-2021 to 24-4-2021 with permission to prefix Gazetted holidays fell on 14-4-2021 & 15-4-2021 and suffix Sunday fell on 25-4-2021 in favour of Ms. Rosy Dahiya, Civil Judge-cum-JMIC (II), Amb, District Una, H.P.



Certified that Ms. Rosy Dahiya had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Rosy Dahiya would have continued to hold the post of Civil Judge-cum-JMIC (II), Amb, District Una H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Registrar General.

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001**

**NOTIFICATION**

*Shimla, the 16th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-326/2012.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant *ex-post facto* sanction of 12 days commuted leave *w.e.f.* 14-5-2021 to 25-5-2021 and 05 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 26-5-2021 to 30-5-2021 in favour of Ms. Monika Sombal, Civil Judge-cum-JMIC, Barsar, District Hamirpur, H.P.

Certified that Ms. Monika Sombal had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Monika Sombal would have continued to hold the post of Civil Judge-cum-JMIC, Barsar, H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Registrar General.

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001**

**NOTIFICATION**

*Shimla, the 25th June, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-323/2011.**—Hon'ble the Chief Justice has been pleased to grant *ex-post facto* sanction of 15 days commuted leave *w.e.f.* 16-4-2021 to 30-4-2021 in favour of Ms. Upasna Sharma, Sr. Civil Judge-cum-ACJM, Nalagarh, District Solan, H.P.

Certified that Ms. Upasna Sharma had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Upasna Sharma would have continued to hold the post of Sr. Civil Judge-cum-ACJM, Nalagarh, H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Registrar General.

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 17th June, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/ 14-255/2002-II.**—Hon'ble the Chief Justice has been pleased to grant *ex-post facto* sanction of 21 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 17-4-2021 to 07-05-2021 with permission to suffix Second Saturday and Sunday fell on 8-5-2021 & 9-5-2021 in favour of Ms. Kanta Verma, Sr. Civil Judge-*cum*-CJM, Hamirpur, H.P.

Certified that Ms. Kanta Verma had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Kanta Verma would have continued to hold the post of Sr. Civil Judge-*cum*-CJM, Hamirpur, H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 17th July, 2021*

**No. HHC/GAZ/14-322/2011.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice has been pleased to grant *ex-post facto* sanction of 04 days earned leave *w.e.f.* 19-4-2021 to 22-4-2021 in favour of Ms. Manisha Goyal, Sr. Civil Judge-*cum*-ACJM (I), Una, H.P.

Certified that Ms. Manisha Goyal had joined the same post and at the same station from where she had proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Ms. Manisha Goyal would have continued to hold the post of Sr. Civil Judge-*cum*-ACJM (I), Una, H.P., but for her proceeding on leave for the above period.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

---

**HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001****NOTIFICATION***Shimla, the 27th July, 2021*

**No.HHC/Admn.16 (18)96-II.**—Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice in exercise of the powers vested in him U/S 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, U/S 297(1) (b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and Rule 5(vi) of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment &

Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to appoint Sh. Ravi Kant (HIM/211/2018) and Sh. Naveen Thakur (HIM/223/2018), Advocates, Reckong Peo, H.P., as Oath Commissioners at Reckong Peo for a period of two years with immediate effect for administering oaths and affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the aforesaid Codes and Rules.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

## HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA - 171 001

### NOTIFICATION

*Shimla, the 23rd July, 2021*

**No. HHC/Admn.16(13)74-X.**—The Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice, in exercise of the powers vested in him U/S 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, U/S 297(1) (b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and Rule 5(vi) of the H.P. Oath Commissioners (Appointment & Control) Rules, 2007 has been pleased to appoint Ms. Kumari Sandeepna, Advocate (HIM/169/2015), as Oath Commissioners at District Courts, Chakkar, Shimla for a period of two years with immediate effect for administering oaths and affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the aforesaid Codes and Rules.

By order,  
Sd/-  
*Registrar General.*

### **In the Court of Shri Anil Kumar Bhardwaj (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :

1. Shri Sohan Lal s/o Mahender Singh, r/o Village Gurtah, P.O. Parwara, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.).

2. Kusam Lata d/o Jaswant Singh, r/o Majhakhal, P.O. Jarol, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi (H.P.) . . Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

**Subject.**— Proclamation for registration of marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Sohan Lal and Kusam Lata have filed an application on 03-07-2021 alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 23-06-2021 and they are living as husband and wife since then and hence their marriage may be registered under the Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 09-08-2021. The objection received after 09-08-2021 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 03-07-2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

ANIL KUMAR BHARDWAJ,  
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

---

**In the Court of Shri Anil Kumar Bhardwaj (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :

1. Shri Duni Chand s/o Dhani Ram, r/o Village Pokhari, P.O. Ghat, Tehsil Bali Chowki, District Mandi (H.P.).

2. Yojna Devi d/o Daleep Singh, r/o Sidhyar, P.O. Gadagusain, Tehsil Bali Chowki, District Mandi (H.P.)

*... Applicants.*

*Versus*

General Public

*Subject.*— Proclamation for registration of marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Duni Chand and Yojna Devi have filed an application on 03-07-2021 alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 22-06-2021 and they are living as husband and wife since then and hence their marriage may be registered under the Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 09-08-2021. The objection received after 09-08-2021 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 03-07-2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

ANIL KUMAR BHARDWAJ,  
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

---

**In the Court of Shri Anil Kumar Bhardwaj (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :

1. Shri Sher Singh s/o Kali Ram, r/o Kandhi, P.O. Saroa, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.).

2. Ponam Lata d/o Chat Ram, r/o Koot, P.O. Nandi, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.) . . Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

*Subject.*— Proclamation for registration of marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Sher Singh and Ponam Lata have filed an application on 05-07-2021 alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 20-06-2021 and they are living as husband and wife since then and hence their marriage may be registered under the Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 09-08-2021. The objection received after 09-08-2021 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 05-07-2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

ANIL KUMAR BHARDWAJ,  
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

---

**In the Court of Shri Anil Kumar Bhardwaj (H.P.A.S.), Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :

1. Shri Hitesh s/o Sh. Virender, r/o Village Kandhol, P.O. Devdhar, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.).

2. Neelam Thakur d/o Sh. Shiv Lal, r/o Village Koot, P.O. Nandi, Tehsil Chachyot, District Mandi (H.P.) . . Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

*Subject.*— Proclamation for registration of marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Hitesh and Neelam Thakur have filed an application on 07-07-2021 alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 18-02-2021 and they are living as husband and wife since then and hence their marriage may be registered under the Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 09-08-2021. The objection received after 09-08-2021 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 07-07-2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

ANIL KUMAR BHARDWAJ,  
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Chachyot at Gohar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

---

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,  
District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :—

1. Gopal s/o Sh. Kishan, Village Majher, P.O. Tand-Galu, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.)
2. Neelam Kumari d/o Sh. Hem Raj, Village Chaloh, P.O. Saigaloo, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi (H.P.) . . Applicants.

*Versus*

*General Public*

**Subject.**—Application for the registration of Marriage under Section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Gopal s/o Sh. Kishan, Village Majher, P.O. Tand-Galu, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.) and Neelam Kumari d/o Sh. Hem Raj, Village Chaloh, P.O. Saigaloo, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi (H.P.) (at present wife of Gopal s/o Sh. Kishan, Village Majher, P.O. Tand-Galu, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi H.P.) have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under Section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 01-07-2021 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses Mandi, Distt. Mandi and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 14-08-2021 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 15th day of July, 2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-  
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,  
District Mandi (H. P.)**

In the matter of :—

1. Aman Kumar s/o Sh. Bhup Singh, Village Basadhar, P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).

2. Sunita Thakur d/o Sh. Mast Ram, Village Chela, P.O. Pali, Tehsil Padhar, District Mandi (H.P.) . . Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

*Subject.*—Application for the registration of Marriage under Section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Aman Kumar s/o Sh. Bhup Singh, Village Basadhar, P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.) and Sunita Thakur d/o Sh. Mast Ram, Village Chela, P.O. Pali, Tehsil Padhar, District Mandi (H.P.) (at present wife of Aman Kumar s/o Sh. Bhup Singh, Village Basadhar, P.O. Tandoo, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi H.P.) have filed an application alongwith affidavits in the court of undersigned under Section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 28-06-2021 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses Mandi, Distt. Mandi and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 14-08-2021 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 15th day of July, 2021 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

समक्ष मेघना गोस्वामी, तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, लडभड़ोल,  
जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

तारीख पेशी : 12-08-2021

श्री खेम चन्द पुत्र श्री तुलसीया पुत्र श्री साई, निवासी गांव छन्हेहड, डाकघर गंगोटी, तहसील लडभड़ोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

... फरीकदोयम।

श्री खेम चन्द पुत्र श्री तुलसीया पुत्र श्री साई, निवासी गांव छन्हेहड, डाकघर गंगोटी, तहसील लडभड़ोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) ने शपथ पत्र सहित आवेदन किया है कि प्रार्थी का वास्तविक नाम खेम चन्द है। परन्तु राजस्व अभिलेख महाल छन्हेहड में प्रार्थी का नाम खेम सिंह दर्ज हो चुका है। जो कि गलत दर्ज कागजात माल है। अब प्रार्थी ने अपना नाम खेम चन्द दुरुस्त दर्ज करने बारे निवेदन किया है।

अतः इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को राजस्व अभिलेख महाल छन्हेहड में खेम सिंह के स्थान पर खेम चन्द दुरुस्त करने बारा कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन तारीख पेशी दिनांक 12-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकते हैं। बसूरत गैरहाजिरी एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर नाम दुरुस्ती दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

यह इश्तहार आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

समक्ष मेघना गोस्वामी, तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, लडभडोल,  
जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

तारीख पेशी : 12-08-2021

श्री रणबीर सिंह पुत्र श्री सुदामा, निवासी गांव ऊटपुर, डाकघर ऊटपुर, तहसील लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्राथी।

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम।

श्री रणबीर सिंह पुत्र श्री सुदामा, निवासी गांव ऊटपुर, डाकघर ऊटपुर, तहसील लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) ने शपथ पत्र सहित आवेदन किया है कि प्राथी का वास्तविक नाम रणबीर सिंह है। परन्तु राजस्व अभिलेख महाल ऊटपुर में प्राथी का नाम रणजीत सिंह दर्ज हो चुका है। जो कि गलत दर्ज कागजात माल है। अब प्राथी ने अपना नाम रणबीर सिंह दुरुस्त दर्ज करने बारे निवेदन किया है।

अतः इस इश्तहार के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को राजस्व अभिलेख महाल ऊटपुर में रणजीत सिंह के स्थान पर रणबीर सिंह दुरुस्त करने बारा कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन तारीख पेशी दिनांक 12-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकते हैं। बसूरत गैरहाजिरी एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर नाम दुरुस्ती दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

यह इश्तहार आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

समक्ष मेघना गोस्वामी, तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, लडभडोल,  
जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

तारीख पेशी : 12-08-2021

श्री गोपाल सिंह पुत्र श्री बल राम, निवासी गांव गाहरु, डाकघर रोपडी कलैहडू, तहसील लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्राथी।



## बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम।

श्री गोपाल सिंह पुत्र श्री बल राम, निवासी गांव गाहरु, डाकघर रोपडी कलैहडू, तहसील लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) ने शपथ पत्र सहित आवेदन किया है कि प्रार्थी के पिता का वास्तविक नाम बल राम है। परन्तु राजस्व अभिलेख महाल गाहरु में प्रार्थी के पिता का नाम वलू दर्ज हो चुका है। जो कि गलत दर्ज कागजात माल है। अब प्रार्थी ने अपने अपने पिता का नाम बल राम दुरुस्त दर्ज करने बारे निवेदन किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार के माध्यम से सर्वसाधारण आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को राजस्व अभिलेख महाल गाहरु में वलू के स्थान पर बल राम दुरुस्त करने बारा कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह असालतन या वकालतन तारीख पेशी दिनांक 12-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकते हैं। बसूरत गैरहाजिरी एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर नाम दुरुस्ती दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

यह इशतहार आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
लडभडोल, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, उप-तहसील धामी, जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०)

मुकद्दमा संख्या : 06/2018

तारीख मरजुआ : 16-06-2021

तारीख पेशी : 17-07-2021

श्री धन्ना लाल पुत्र श्री मुशूमल, निवासी 56/8, जतोग कैन्ट, शिमला-171008, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०)।

राजस्व अभिलेख में नाम दुरुस्ती बारे प्रार्थना-पत्र।

इस मुकद्दमे का संक्षिप्त सार यह है कि उपरोक्त प्रार्थी श्री धन्ना लाल पुत्र श्री मुशूमल, निवासी 56/8, जतोग कैन्ट, शिमला-171008, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०) ने प्रार्थना-पत्र इस आशय के साथ इस अदालत में प्रस्तुत किया है कि भू-राजस्व अभिलेख मौजा क्यारी बाग में प्रार्थी का नाम धनामल, पुत्र मुशूमल दर्ज कागजात है जो कि गलत है जबकि प्रार्थी द्वारा दिये गए अपने दस्तावेजों शपथ-पत्र, आधार कार्ड, शैक्षणिक प्रमाण-पत्र, ब्यान वाशिन्दगान देह के अनुसार प्रार्थी का नाम धन्ना लाल पुत्र मुशूमल है जो कि सही है।

अतः इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा नाम दुरुस्ती बारे कोई भी उजर व एतराज हो तो स्वयं या लिखित तौर पर दिनांक 17-08-2021 को अपराह्न 2.00 बजे हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना एतराज पेश करें, अन्यथा यह समझा जायेगा कि किसी भी व्यक्ति को इस मुकद्दमा नाम दुरुस्ती बारे कोई उजर व एतराज न है तथा आवेदन-पत्र को अन्तिम रूप दिया जायेगा व एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 17-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
उप-तहसील धामी, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि० प्र०)।

**ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील शिमला ग्रामीण, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)**

मुकदमा संख्या : 30/2020

तारीख मरजुआ : 21-10-2020

तारीख पेशी : 01-09-2021

1. श्री मेहर सिंह पुत्र स्व0 श्री मस्त राम, निवासी सीउन, डाकघर दुर्गापुर, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि0प्र0), 2. श्री धर्म सिंह पुत्र स्व0 श्री मस्त राम, निवासी सीउन, डाकघर दुर्गापुर, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि0प्र0), 3. श्री चतर सिंह, 4. श्री नारायण सिंह, 5. श्री केसर सिंह मारफत मुख्त्यार आम बलदेव ठाकुर, उक्त समस्त पुत्र स्व0 मेद राम, निवासी गांव मझार, डाकघर दुर्गापुर, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि0प्र0), 6. बलदेव, 7. नरेश उक्त दोनों पुत्रगण स्व0 श्री राम सरन, निवासी रझाना, तहसील व जिला शिमला (हि0प्र0), 8. श्री कपिल पुत्र श्री मदन ठाकुर, निवासी के0ए0 भवन सेक्टर 2, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 9. श्री अश्वनी कुमार पुत्र श्री कृष्ण गोपाल, निवासी सेक्टर 2, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 10. सवित्री ठाकुर पत्नी श्री कृष्ण गोपाल, निवासी सेक्टर 2, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 11. कृष्ण गोपाल पुत्र स्व0 श्री अनोखी राम, निवासी सेक्टर 2, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 12. राजेन्द्र, 13. नरेन्द्र, उक्त दोनों पुत्र श्री उमेद राम, 14. श्रीमती निर्मला पुत्री श्री उमेद राम, 15. श्रीमती सावित्री पत्नी श्री उमेद राम, समस्त निवासी, गांव चैली, डा ब्यूलिया, तहसील व जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश मारफत मुख्त्यार आम श्री अश्वनी कुमार।

प्रार्थी।

**बनाम**

1. श्री बिहारी लाल, 2. श्री कमल स्वरूप उक्त पुत्रगण श्री केवल राम, निवासी गांव पट्टी, डा0 रझाना, तहसील व जिला शिमला, 3. श्री राम गोपाल पुत्र स्व0 श्री अनोखी राम, निवासी सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 4. श्री रमन कुमार पुत्र श्री राम गोपाल, 5. लीला देवी पत्नी श्री राम गोपाल उक्त दोनों निवासी सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 6. अनूप कुमार, 7. विजय कुमार उक्त दोनों पुत्र स्व0 श्री सालिग राम, निवासी ज्योति निवास, सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 8. रीना, 9. कुमारी ज्योति पुत्री स्व0 श्री सालिग राम, 10. श्रीमती शांति देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री सालिग राम, निवासी ज्योति निवास, सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 11. पवन कुमार पुत्र स्व0 श्री श्याम लाल, 12. कुमारी मीना, 13. कुमारी बीना दोनों पुत्रियां स्व0 श्री श्याम लाल, निवासी सेक्टर 3, पटयोग न्यू शिमला, 14. श्री अमर सिंह, 15. मोहन लाल उक्त दोनों पुत्र रूप लाल, निवासी सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 16. प्रभा पुत्री स्व0 श्री रूप सिंह, निवासी सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 17. श्री लच्छू राम, 18. श्री कृपा राम उक्त दोनों पुत्र स्व0 श्री बजिरू, सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 19. प्रेम चन्द पुत्र स्व0 श्री मुन्शी राम निवासी, सेक्टर 3, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 20. श्री राजेन्द्र कुमार, 21. सुरेन्द्र प्रकाश उक्त दोनों पुत्र स्व0 श्री मुन्शी राम, निवासी रझाना, तहसील व जिला शिमला, 22. श्रीमती गौरू पुत्री स्व0 श्री मोती राम, निवासी गांव सरधीण, डा0 ब्यूलिया, तहसील व जिला शिमला, 23. श्रीमती शांति पुत्री स्व0 मोती राम, निवासी सेक्टर 1, पटयोग, न्यू शिमला, 24. रमेश कुमार पुत्र श्री कृष्ण चन्द उर्फ कृष्ण गोपाल, 25. कमला, 26. बिमला उक्त दोनों पुत्रियां स्व0 श्री देवी राम, निवासी गांव सांवी, डा0 रझाना, तहसील व जिला शिमला, 27. लक्ष्मी पुत्र श्री खेम चन्द, 28. श्रीमती विद्या पुत्री श्री खेम चन्द, उक्त दोनों निवासी रझाना, शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश, 29. अमित कुमार पुत्र श्री मदन कुमार, 30. दर्शनू पत्नी श्री मदन कुमार, उक्त दोनों निवासी के0ए0 भवन से0 2, पटयोग न्यू शिमला हिमाचल प्रदेश, 31. सुन्दरी देवी, 32. राज कुमारी पुत्री श्री अमर सिंह, निवासी पटयोग न्यू शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

..प्रतिवादीगण।

प्रार्थना-पत्र बराये जेर धारा 123 के अन्तर्गत भू-विभाजन हेतु इशतहार राजपत्र।

यह कि श्री मेहर सिंह पुत्र स्व0 श्री मस्त राम, निवासी सीउन, डाकघर दुर्गापुर, तहसील व जिला शिमला आदि ने इस न्यायालय में प्रार्थना-पत्र बराये जेर धारा 123 के अन्तर्गत भू-विभाजन हेतु अराजी खाता/ खतौनी 48/176, कित्ता 3, रकबा 18716.32 है0, खाता/ खतौनी नं0 50/183, खसरा नं0 183 ता 185, कित्ता 07, रकबा 9150.17 है0, वाका चक महाल पटयोग, तहसील शिमला ग्रामीण, जिला शिमला बारे प्रस्तुत किया है जिसमें प्रतिवादी श्रीमती गौरू व सुन्दरी देवी के कोई स्थाई निवास स्थान की जानकारी उपलब्ध न हो पाने के कारण बार-बार समन जारी करने के बाद भी तामील न हो पा रही है। जिससे यह प्रतीत होता है कि प्रतिवादीगणों की तामील साधारण तरीके से संभव न है।

अतः अदालत हजा को विश्वास हो चुका है कि उपरोक्त प्रतिवादीगणों व उनके जायज वारसानों की तामील साधारण तरीके से होना संभव न है। अतः इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को भी उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा बाबत तकसीम बारे कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो स्वयं या लिखित तौर पर दिनांक 01-09-2021 को अपराह्न 2.00 बजे तक कोर्ट परिसर चक्कर में आकर अपना एतराज पेश करें, अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज तारीख 28-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
तहसील शिमला ग्रामीण, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0।

-----

**In the Court of Manjeet Sharma (H.P.A.S), Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (Urban),  
District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh**

Miss Tenzin Zomkyi d/o Late Sh. Karma Tsingtop, r/o House No. B-38, Tibetan Colony, Panthaghatti, P.O. Kasumpti, Tehsil & Distt. Shimla, (H.P.)

*Versus*

General Public

.. Respondent.

*Application under section 13(3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.*

Miss Tenzin Zomkyi d/o Late Sh. Karma Tsingtop, r/o House No. B-38, Tibetan Colony, Panthaghatti, P.O. Kasumpti, Tehsil & Distt. Shimla, (H.P.) has preferred an application to the undersigned for registration of date of birth of herself Miss Tenzin Zomkyi (DOB 07-09-1994) at above address in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Therefore through this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for entry of date of birth mentioned above, may submit his objection in writing in this court within 30 (Thirty) days from the date of publication of this notice in official Gazette. No objection will be entertained after prescribed period and application will be decided accordingly.

Given under my hand and seal of the Court on this 29th July, 2021.

Seal.

MANJEET SHARMA (HPAS),  
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,  
Shimla (Urban), District Shimla (H.P.).

-----

**ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार), नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)**

श्रीमती नीरा देवी पुत्री श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी शांति संगम नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थिया श्रीमती नीरा देवी पुत्री श्री हरी सिंह, निवासी शांति संगम नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत करके आवेदन किया है कि उसकी जन्म तिथि 12-09-1989 है, जो नगर परिषद् नाहन, तहसील नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है। जिसे प्रार्थिया अब दर्ज करवाना चाहती है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा दिनांक 10-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना एतराज प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। अगर उक्त तारीख तक किसी का उजर/एतराज प्राप्त नहीं होता तो उक्त श्रीमती नीरा देवी पुत्री श्री हरी सिंह की जन्म तिथि 12-09-1989 नगर पंचायत नाहन में दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जावेंगे।

आज दिनांक 17-07-2021 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

#### ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार), नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

श्री जितेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर पुत्र श्री पृथ्वी सिंह, निवासी गांव लादू, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत बनेठी, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0प्र0)।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थी श्री जितेन्द्र सिंह ठाकुर पुत्र श्री पृथ्वी सिंह, निवासी गांव लादू, डा0 व ग्राम पंचायत बनेठी, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत करके आवेदन किया है कि उनकी पुत्री रुचिका ठाकुर की जन्म तिथि 23-01-1998 है, जो ग्राम पंचायत बनेठी, तहसील नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है। जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा दिनांक 10-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना एतराज प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। अगर उक्त तारीख तक किसी का उजर/एतराज प्राप्त नहीं होता तो उक्त रुचिका ठाकुर की जन्म तिथि 23-01-1998 ग्राम पंचायत बनेठी, तहसील नाहन में दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जावेंगे।

आज दिनांक 17-07-2021 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

#### ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार), नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

श्री अनिल शर्मा पुत्र स्व0 श्री कृष्ण चंद, निवासी मकान नं0 88/5, नजदीक ए0वी0एन0 स्कूल, नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि0प्र0)।

बनाम

## आम जनता

प्रार्थी श्री अनिल शर्मा पुत्र स्व० श्री कृष्ण चंद, निवासी मकान नं० 88/5, नजदीक ए०वी०एन० स्कूल, नाहन, तहसील नाहन, जिला सिरमौर ने अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत करके आवेदन किया है कि उनकी माता श्रीमती बिशन देवी की मृत्यु तिथि 03-11-2019 है, जो नगर परिषद् नाहन, तहसील नाहन में दर्ज नहीं है। जिसे प्रार्थी अब दर्ज करवाना चाहता है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि इस सम्बन्ध में यदि किसी व्यक्ति को कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह स्वयं अथवा अपने प्रतिनिधि द्वारा दिनांक 10-08-2021 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालत में हाजिर होकर अपना एतराज प्रस्तुत कर सकता है। अगर उक्त तारीख तक किसी का उजर/एतराज प्राप्त नहीं होता तो उक्त श्रीमती बिशन देवी की मृत्यु तिथि 03-11-2019 नगर परिषद् नाहन, तहसील नाहन में दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जावेंगे।

आज दिनांक 17-07-2021 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी (तहसीलदार),  
नाहन, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

ब अदालत श्री नरोत्तम लाल गौड़, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील कमरऊ,  
जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)

श्री रामानन्द पुत्र श्री सिंगी, निवासी ग्राम कठवार (मडी), तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना—पत्र भू—राजस्व अधिनियम की धारा 37(1) के तहत नाम दुरुस्ती बारे।

श्री रामानन्द पुत्र श्री सिंगी, निवासी ग्राम कठवार (मडी), तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०) ने इस अदालत में एक प्रार्थना—पत्र मय अपना ब्यान हल्फी, परिवार अभिलेख ग्राम पंचायत कठवार, राजस्व अभिलेख नकल जमाबन्दी, मौजा चिंया पिपलात, अपना आधार कार्ड एवं राशन कार्ड सहित इस आशय से प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थी श्री रामानन्द पुत्र श्री सिंगी, निवासी ग्राम कठवार (मडी) अपने स्वयं के नाम को राजस्व अभिलेख पटवार वृत्त कठवार में नन्दा पुत्र सिंगी राम की जगह सही नाम रामानन्द पुत्र सिंगी राम, मुताबिक परिवार अभिलेख ग्राम पंचायत कठवार अनुसार दर्ज कराना चाहता है।

सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार के माफत सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे किसी को कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 08-08-2021 से पूर्व या दिनांक 08-08-2021 को प्रातः 11.00 बजे अदालत हजा स्थित कमरऊ में अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर दर्ज करा सकता है अन्यथा उजर/एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में उक्त नाम दुरुस्ती राजस्व अभिलेख में करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व कार्यालय मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि० प्र०)।

**ब अदालत श्री नरोत्तम लाल गौड़, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील कमरऊ,  
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)**

श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री मगी राम, निवासी ग्राम बड़वास, तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना—पत्र भू—राजस्व अधिनियम की धारा 37(1) के तहत नाम दुरुस्ती बारे।

श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री मगी राम, निवासी ग्राम बड़वास, तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ने इस अदालत में एक प्रार्थना—पत्र मय अपना ब्यान हल्फी, राजस्व अभिलेख नकल जमाबन्दी, मौजा बड़वास, परिवार नकल ग्राम पंचायत बड़वास, शिक्षण प्रमाण—पत्र एवं अपना आधार कार्ड सहित इस आशय से प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थी श्री विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र श्री मगी राम, निवासी ग्राम बड़वास, अपने स्वयं के नाम को राजस्व अभिलेख पटवार वृत्त बड़वास में विजय कुमार पुत्र मधी राम की जगह सही नाम विजेन्द्र सिंह पुत्र मगी राम, मुताबिक परिवार अभिलेख ग्राम पंचायत बड़वास एवं निजी दस्तावेजों के अनुसार दर्ज कराना चाहता है।

सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार के मार्फत सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे किसी को कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 08-08-2021 से पूर्व या दिनांक 08-08-2021 को प्रातः 11.00 बजे अदालत हजा स्थित कमरऊ में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर दर्ज करा सकता है अन्यथा उजर/एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में उक्त नाम दुरुस्ती राजस्व अभिलेख में करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व कार्यालय मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

**ब अदालत श्री नरोत्तम लाल गौड़, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, तहसील कमरऊ,  
जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)**

श्रीमती देई दवी पुत्री श्री बहादूर सिंह पत्नी श्री कल्याण सिंह, निवासी ग्राम चान्दनी, तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना—पत्र भू—राजस्व अधिनियम की धारा 37(1) के तहत नाम दुरुस्ती बारे।

श्रीमती देई दवी पुत्री श्री बहादूर सिंह पत्नी श्री कल्याण सिंह, निवासी ग्राम चान्दनी, तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ने इस अदालत में एक प्रार्थना—पत्र मय परिवार नकल ग्राम पंचायत चान्दनी, अपना आधार कार्ड, अपना निर्वाचन पहचान—पत्र, राशन कार्ड, राजस्व अभिलेख नकल जमाबन्दी, मौजा अधोग व कठवार एवं शजरा नख मालकान सहित इस आशय से प्रस्तुत किया है कि प्रार्थिया श्रीमती देई दवी पुत्री श्री बहादूर सिंह पत्नी श्री कल्याण सिंह, अपने स्वयं के नाम को राजस्व अभिलेख पटवार वृत्त कठवार के मौजा

अधोग एवं कठवार में हरदेवी पुत्री श्री बहादूर सिंह की जगह सही नाम देई देवी पुत्री बहादूर सिंह मुताबिक प्रार्थिया अपने निजी दस्तावेजों के अनुसार दर्ज कराना चाहती है।

सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार के मार्फत सूचित किया जाता है कि इस बारे किसी को कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 08-08-2021 से पूर्व या दिनांक 08-08-2021 को प्रातः 11.00 बजे अदालत हजा स्थित कमरऊ में असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर दर्ज करा सकता है अन्यथा उजर/एतराज पेश न होने की सूरत में उक्त नाम दुरुस्ती राजस्व अभिलेख में करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 07-07-2021 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व कार्यालय मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
तहसील कमरऊ, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

### ब अदालत सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

श्रीमती ज्योति पत्नी श्री रवि कुमार, निवासी H. No. 29, Ward No. 7, पांवटा साहिब, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रतिवादी।

उनवान मुकद्दमा.—प्रार्थना—पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

श्रीमती ज्योति पत्नी श्री रवि कुमार, निवासी H. No. 29, Ward No. 7, पांवटा साहिब, तहसील पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0) ने एक प्रार्थना—पत्र प्रस्तुत करके निवेदन किया है कि आवेदिका किन्हीं कारणों से अपनी पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 08-07-2015 का इन्द्राज निर्धारित अवधि के अन्दर सम्बन्धित नगरपालिका परिषद् में दर्ज नहीं करवा पाई है। इस बारे आवेदिका द्वारा एक ब्यान हल्फी भी पेश किया गया है तथा इस सम्बन्ध में दो गवाहों के शपथ—पत्र भी आवेदिका ने अपने प्रार्थना—पत्र के साथ संलग्न किये हैं। आवेदिका ने नगरपालिका परिषद् पांवटा साहिब में अपनी ऊपर वर्णित पुत्री की जन्म तिथि 08-07-2015 को दर्ज करने का अनुरोध किया है।

अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी भी व्यक्ति को कु0 पिहू की जन्म तिथि नगरपालिका परिषद्, तहसील पांवटा साहिब में दर्ज करने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह मिति 16-08-2021 को या इससे पूर्व हमारे न्यायालय में हाजिर होकर लिखित अथवा मौखिक एतराज पेश कर सकता है। उक्त निश्चित तिथि के बाद कोई भी एतराज मान्य नहीं होगा और समझा जायेगा कि उक्त की जन्म तिथि को सम्बन्धित नगरपालिका परिषद् पांवटा साहिब में दर्ज करने बारे किसी को कोई एतराज नहीं है तथा नियमानुसार जन्म तिथि पंजीकरण के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 19-07-2021 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—  
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,  
पांवटा साहिब, जिला सिरमौर (हि0 प्र0)।

---

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate Sangrah, District Sirmaur,  
Himachal Pradesh**

1. Sh. Om Prakash s/o Late Sh. Dhel Singh, r/o Village & P.O. Sangrah, Gram Panchayat Sangrah, Tehsil Renuka Ji at Sangrah, District Sirmaur (H.P.).

2. Tamanna d/o Late Sh. Chint Ram, r/o Village Chames, Tehsil & District Chamba (H.P.)  
"Applicants.

*Versus*

General Public

"Respondent.

*Subject.*—Notice

Whereas, the aforementioned applicants Sh. Om Prakash and Tamanna d/o Late Sh. Chint Ram, r/o Village Chames, Tehsil & District Chamba (H.P.) have filed an application for registration of their marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 in this office on 16-07-2021.

The general public of the concerned area are hereby called upon to file objection, if any, regarding registration of marriage solemnized between the above parties on or before 16-08-2021 in this office, Thereafter no objection shall be entertained and the marriage shall be registered accordingly.

Issued today on ..... under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-  
Marriage Officer-cum-S.D.M.  
Sangrah, District Sirmaur (H.P.).